

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Troy Simmons - 1950 S Ithan St., Philadelphia, PA 19143

Address of Defendant: Kimberly Webb&dba Kims Plumbing-207 Maple St,Clayton,NJ 08312,Home Depot-2455 Paces Ferry Rd,NW,Atlanta,GA 30339

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Intersection of Hazel Ave. & S 46th St., in Philadelphia, PA

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge: _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when **Yes** is answered to any of the following questions:

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 07/29/2022

Marc Simon
Print Name Here

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

201798

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

CIVIL: (Place a ✓ in one category only)

A. Federal Question Cases:

- 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
- 2. FELA
- 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury
- 4. Antitrust
- 5. Patent
- 6. Labor-Management Relations
- 7. Civil Rights
- 8. Habeas Corpus
- 9. Securities Act(s) Cases
- 10. Social Security Review Cases
- 11. All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify): _____

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

- 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
- 2. Airplane Personal Injury
- 3. Assault, Defamation
- 4. Marine Personal Injury
- 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
- 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): _____
- 7. Products Liability
- 8. Products Liability – Asbestos
- 9. All other Diversity Cases
(Please specify): _____

I, Marc Simon, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:

Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 07/29/2022

Marc Simon
Print Name Here

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

201798

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Troy Simmons	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
Kimberly Webb, et al.,	:	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

07/29/2022	Marc Simon	Troy Simmons
<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney-at-law</u>	<u>Attorney for</u>
215-467-4666	267-639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.com
<u>Telephone</u>	<u>FAX Number</u>	<u>E-Mail Address</u>

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

Troy Simmons :
1950 S Ithan St. :
Philadelphia, PA 19143 : #_____

Plaintiff :
v. :
Kimberly Webb :
207 Maple St. :
Clayton, NJ 08312 :
And :
Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing :
207 Maple St. :
Clayton, NJ 08312 :
And :
Home Depot USA, Inc. :
2455 Paces Ferry Rd., N.W. :
Atlanta, GA 30339 :
Defendant(s) :

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Georgia, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

4. Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Georgia, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendants, Kimberly Webb, is a citizen of New Jersey, and the Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Pumbing, upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in New Jersey, and the Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in Gorgia and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.

6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

FACTS

7. On or about August 26, 2021, at or about 10:00 a.m, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, was standing outside his vehicle, which was parked at/near the intersection of Hazel Ave. & S 46th St., in Philadelphia, PA.

8. At or about the same date and time, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was the operator of a motor vehicle, owned by Defendants, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing and Home Depot USA, Inc., which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff.

9. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with the Plaintiff.

10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was operating the aforesaid Defendants, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing and Home Depot USA, Inc.'s vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of it's agency.

11. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently, and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between the vehicles.

12. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.

13. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, as are more fully set forth below.

COUNT I
Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb
Negligence

14. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

15. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the direct cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the plaintiff between the two vehicles;
- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- i. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- l. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- n. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;
- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

16. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

17. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

18. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

19. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.

20. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

21. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II
Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing
Negligent Entrustment

22. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

23. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
- b. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
- c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Kimberly Webb's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant, Kimberly Webb.

24. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

25. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

26. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

27. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.

28. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III
Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing
Respondeat Superior

29. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

30. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant,

Kimberly Webb, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between the two vehicles;
- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- i. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- l. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- n. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

31. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

32. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently

and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

33. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

34. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.

35. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

36. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT IV
Troy Simmons v. Home Depot USA, Inc.
Negligent Entrustment

37. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

38. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
- b. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
- c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Kimberly Webb's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant, Kimberly Webb.

39. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

40. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently

and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

41. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

42. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.

43. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT V
Troy Simmons v. Home Depot USA, Inc.
Respondeat Superior

44. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

45. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate

cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the plaintiff between the two vehicles;
- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- i. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- l. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- n. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;

- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

46. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

47. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

48. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

49. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.

50. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

51. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.
Marc Simon
BY: _____
Marc Simon, Esquire